

Chloe Duddy

From: matthew.crothers <matthew.crothers@wyg.com>
Sent: 27 January 2020 07:21
To: Local Development Plan
Subject: Representation to DCSDC LDP Draft Plan Strategy
Attachments: DCSDC LDP Plan Strategy Response Form - WYG Response 27.1.2020.pdf

Importance: High

Dear Sir/Madam

Please find attached representation to the DCSDC LDP Draft Plan Strategy.

I would be obliged if you could confirm receipt of this email and its attachment by way of response.

Should you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.


Kind regards,

Matthew Crothers
Associate

WYG
1 Locksley Business Park, Montgomery Road, Belfast, BT6 9UP
Tel: +44 2890 706 059

www.wyg.com

WYG Environmental and Planning (Northern Ireland) Limited. Registered in NI. number: NI050736.
Registered Office: 1 Locksley Business Park, Montgomery Road, Belfast BT6 9UP VAT no. 451-0726108



This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the recipient. If you are not the recipient you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail. Please notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this e-mail by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system. E-mail transmission cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error-free as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. The sender therefore does not accept liability for any errors or omissions in the contents of this message, which arise as a result of e-mail transmission. If verification is required please request a hard-copy version.





Derry City & Strabane
District Council
Cathairín
Chathair an tSiúchta Fuar
Derry City & Strabane
District Council

Derry City & Strabane District Council

Local Development Plan

(LDP) 2032

**Representations Form for the LDP Draft Plan Strategy
& Associated Appraisal / Assessments**



December 2019

<http://www.derrystrabane.com/Subsites/LDP/Local-Development-Plan>

Introduction

Derry City and Strabane District Council is planning for the future. It is the start of a challenging and exciting journey. It will be a long-term and collaborative process, driven by the Council which is committed to grasping the opportunities and addressing the challenges that face us, some unique to our situation and others generated by global forces beyond our control.

United by a shared vision, the Council's Local Development Plan (LDP) and our Community Plan - the Strategic Growth Plan, will drive this process as we seek together to strategically grow and improve social, economic and environmental wellbeing for all. The publication of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is the next step on this journey.

What is the Local Development Plan (LDP)?

The new LDP will guide land-use development and set out Planning policies and proposals for the use, development and protection of our settlements and countryside across our District to 2032. Crucially, it will help to deliver the outcomes in the Strategic Growth Plan. Once the LDP is adopted, its Planning policies, zonings and development proposals will be used to determine planning applications across the District. The LDP will comprise of two development plan documents: this LDP Plan Strategy and, in due course, the LDP Local Policies Plan.

What is the LDP Plan Strategy (PS)?

This LDP draft Plan Strategy sets out the Council's strategic Planning objectives, designations and policies for the District in line with regional strategies and policies, but tailored to the local needs of this City and District.

The preparation of the PS has been informed by the Council's LDP Preferred Options Paper (POP – May 2017) which provided the basis for consulting with the public and stakeholders on a series of options for dealing with key issues in the Plan area. It set out the Council's initial proposals and policy direction, therefore aiming to stimulate public comment and help interested parties to become involved in a more meaningful way at the earliest stage of Plan preparation. The published draft LDP PS fully reflects a consideration of all the representations made during the POP consultation period and all engagement with stakeholders, consultees and elected Members of the Council.

How We Are Consulting

The best way to submit a representation is by completing our online representations form:
<https://haveyoursay.derrystrabane.com/mkt/ldpconsultation>

Alternatively, complete this draft Plan Strategy Representations Form and either return by email to **LDP@DerryStrabane.com** or download a copy and post to:

**Local Development Plan Team,
Council Offices,
98 Strand Road,
Derry,
BT48 7NN**

Hard copies of the form will be available at the above address and our other main office at 47 Derry Road, Strabane, Tyrone, BT82 8DY. Please note that if you are making a representation in any other format, it must include the requested information set out in this form and address the Tests of Soundness

The draft Plan Strategy is published for formal public consultation for a period of eight weeks beginning on **Monday 2nd December 2019** and closing on **Monday 27th January 2020**. Please note that in order for comments to be considered valid, you must include your contact details. We will use these details to confirm receipt of comments and to seek clarification or request further information. Anonymous comments or comments which do not directly relate to the draft Plan Strategy will not be considered as part of the consultation process.

Section A: Data Protection

Local Development Plan Privacy Notice

Derry City and Strabane District Council is a registered data controller (ZA119397) with the Information Commissioner's Office and we process your information in accordance with the General Data Protection Regulation and Data Protection Act 2018 (GDPR).

Derry City and Strabane District Council only collects and processes personal information about you in order to fulfil our statutory obligations, to provide you and service users with services and to improve those services. Your personal information will be used to populate the LDP Representations Database.

If you wish to find out more about how the Council processes personal data and protects your privacy, our Corporate Privacy Notice is available at:

<https://www.derrystrabane.com/Footer/Privacy-Policy>

It contains the standards you can expect when we ask for, or hold, your personal information and an explanation of our Information Management Security Policy. All representations received will be published on our website and made available at our Local Planning Office, 98 Strand Road, Derry BT48 7NN, for public inspection and will be forwarded to the Department for Infrastructure (DfI) and hence to the Independent Examiner / PAC.

Why are we processing your personal information?

- To enable the preparation of the Council's Local Development Plan;
- To consult your opinion on the Local Development Plan through the public consultation process;
- To ensure compliance with applicable legislation;
- To update you and/or notify you about changes; and
- To answer your questions.

If you wish to find out more information on how your personal information is being processed, you can contact the Council's Data Protection Officer:

Data Protection Officer
47 Derry Road
Strabane
BT82 8DY
Telephone **028 71 253 253**
Email **data.protection@derrystrabane.com**

Section B Your Details

Q1. Are you responding as an individual, as an organisation or as an agent acting on behalf of individual, group or organisation? (Required)

Please only tick one

- Individual (Please fill in Question 2, then proceed to Section C)
- Organisation (Please fill in the remaining questions in the section, then proceed to Section D)
- Agent (Please fill in the remaining questions in the section, then proceed to Section E)

Q2. What is your name?

Title

First Name (Required)

Last Name (Required)

Email

Q3. Did you respond to the previous LDP Preferred Options Paper?

- Yes
- No
- Unsure

Section C Individuals

Address (Required)

Town (Required)

Post code (Required)

On completion, please proceed to Section F

Section D: Organisation

If you have selected that you are responding as an organisation, there are a number of details that we are legally required to obtain from you. If you are responding on behalf of a group or organisation, please complete this section, then proceed to Section F.

Organisation / Group Name *(Required)*

Your Job Title / Position *(Required)*

Organisation / Group Address *(if different from above)*

Address *(Required)*

Town *(Required)*

Postcode *(Required)*

On completion, please proceed to Section F

Section E: Agents

If you have selected that you are responding on behalf of another individual, organisation or group there are a number of details that we are legally required to obtain from you. Please provide details of the individual, organisation or group that you are representing.

Client Contact Details

Title / First Name (Required)

Last Name (Required)

Organisation / Group Address (if different from above)

Address (Required)

Town (Required)

Postcode (Required)

Email address (Required)

On completion, please proceed to Section F

Agent Contact Details

Title / First Name (Required)

Last Name (Required)

Organisation / Group Address (if different from above)

Address (Required)

Town (Required)

Postcode (Required)

Email address (Required)

On completion, please proceed to Section F

Q4. Would you like us to contact you, your client or both in relation to this response or future consultations on the LDP? Please only select one

Agent Client Both

Section F: Soundness

The LDP draft Plan Strategy will be examined at Independent Examination (IE) in regard to its 'soundness'. Accordingly, your responses should be based on soundness and directed at specific strategic policies or proposals that you consider to be unsound, along with your reasons. The tests of soundness are set out below in Section J.

Those wishing to make representations seeking to change the draft Plan Strategy should clearly state why they consider the document to be unsound having regard to the soundness tests in Section J. It is very important that when you are submitting your representation that your response reflects the most appropriate soundness test(s) which you believe the draft Plan Strategy fails to meet. There will be no further opportunity to submit information once the consultation period has closed unless the Independent Examiner requests it.

Those who make a representation seeking to change the LDP draft Plan Strategy should also state below whether they wish to be heard orally at the Independent Examination (Please see www.pacni.gov.uk for further details on the IE procedures.)

Section G: Type of Procedure

Q5. Please indicate if you would like your representation to be dealt with by: Required

Please select one item only

- Written (Choose this procedure to have your representation considered in written form only)
- Oral Hearing (Choose this procedure to present your representation orally at the public hearing)

Unless you specifically request a hearing, the Independent Examiner will proceed on the basis that you are content to have your representation considered in written form only.

Please note that the Independent Examiner will be expected to give the same careful consideration to written representations as to those representations dealt with by oral hearing.

Section H: Is the draft Plan Strategy Sound?

Your comments should be set out in full. This will assist the Independent Examiner to understand the issues you raise. You will only be able to submit further additional information if the Independent Examiner invites you to do so.

Sound

If you consider the Plan Strategy to be Sound and wish to support the LDP Plan Strategy, please set out your comments below.

We are supportive of the LDP Vision (as set out on page 44 of Local Policies Plan Document) which aims to:

To make Derry City and Strabane District a thriving, prosperous and sustainable area – Planning for balanced and appropriate high-quality development, whilst protecting our environment, and also promoting wellbeing with equality of opportunity for all.

We believe that this LDP Vision is sound as are a number of the LDP Objectives. In particular we are supportive of *Spatial & Cross Cutting Objective (iv)* and *Social Development Objectives (i), (ii) & (iii)*.

We believe that these, along with the other LDP Objectives will help to deliver the LDP Vision for the District to 2032.

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

Section I: Unsound

In this section, we will be asking you to specify which part(s) of the draft Plan Strategy you consider to be unsound.

Note: If you wish to inform us that more than one part of the draft Plan Strategy is unsound each part should be listed separately, and Sections J and K filled out for each separate part of the draft Plan Strategy. (i.e. if you believe that multiple parts of the draft Plan Strategy are unsound, please fill out multiple copies of Sections J & K.)

Q6. If you consider that the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound and does not meet one or more of the tests of soundness below, you must indicate which test(s) you consider it does not meet, having regard to Development Plan Practice Note 6 available at:

https://www.planningni.gov.uk/index/news/dfi_planning_news/news_releases_2015_onwards/development_plan_practice_note_06_soundness_version_2_may_2017.pdf

Please note that if you do not identify a test(s), your comments may not be considered by the Independent Examiner. Continued on next page.

Section J: Tests of Soundness (Required)

State which Chapter / Policy / Paragraph / Map that this Section refers to:

Chapter 9, Policy ED2 Office Development (Page 119)

This should relate to only one section, paragraph or policy of the LDP draft Plan Strategy. If you wish to inform us that you consider more than one part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound, you can submit further representations by completing and submitting additional copies of this section.

Procedural tests

- P1. Has the plan been prepared in accordance with the Council's timetable and the Statement of Community Involvement?
- P2. Has the Council prepared its Preferred Options Paper and taken into account any representations made?
- P3. Has the plan been subject to Sustainability Appraisal including Strategic Environmental Assessment?
- P4. Did the Council comply with the regulations on the form and content of its plan and on the procedure for preparing the plan?

Consistency tests

- C1. Did the Council take account of the Regional Development Strategy?
- C2. Did the Council take account of its Community Plan?
- C3. Did the Council take account of policy and guidance issued by the Department

Coherence and effectiveness tests

- CE1. The plan sets out a coherent strategy from which its policies and allocations logically flow and where cross boundary issues are relevant, is it in conflict with the plans of neighbouring Councils.
- CE2. The strategy, policies and allocations are realistic and appropriate having considered the relevant alternatives and are founded on a robust evidence base.
- CE3. There are clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- CE4. The plan is reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

Section K. Which part(s) of the draft Plan Strategy are you commenting on?

This should relate to only one section, paragraph or policy of the LDP draft Plan Strategy. If you wish to inform us that you consider more than one part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound, you can submit further representations by completing and submitting additional copies of this section.

Relevant Chapter number(s)

Chapter 9

(and/ or) Relevant Policy number(s)

Policy ED2 Office Development

(and/or) Relevant Paragraph number(s)

(and/or) District Proposals Map

Please give full details of why you consider this part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy to be unsound, having regard to the tests(s) you have identified above. Please be as clear and concise as possible.

We do not believe that Policy ED2 is sound given that it does not provide enough flexibility in relation to Class B1 (b) Uses, particularly when compared with current operational Policy (Policy PED1 of PPS4).

Current operational policy allows for Class B1(b), use as a call centre, to be permitted within an existing or proposed industrial/employment area. This is not reflected in the proposed Policy ED2 which is silent on the acceptability of Class B1(b) uses in existing or proposed industrial/employment areas.

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

If you consider the LDP draft Plan Strategy to be unsound, please provide details of what changes(s) you consider necessary to make the LDP draft Plan Strategy sound.

Test (i) of proposed Policy ED2 should be amended to include allowance for Class B1(b) uses in existing or proposed industrial/employment areas as per current operational planning policy.

In making such an amendment it would provide greater flexibility and bring it in line with soundness tests C3 (Consistency) and CE4 (Coherence and Effectiveness).

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

Section J: Tests of Soundness (Required)

State which Chapter / Policy / Paragraph / Map that this Section refers to:

Chapter 9 Policy ED3 Economic development in settlements (Page 120)

This should relate to only one section, paragraph or policy of the LDP draft Plan Strategy. If you wish to inform us that you consider more than one part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound, you can submit further representations by completing and submitting additional copies of this section.

Procedural tests

- P1. Has the plan been prepared in accordance with the Council's timetable and the Statement of Community Involvement?
- P2. Has the Council prepared its Preferred Options Paper and taken into account any representations made?
- P3. Has the plan been subject to Sustainability Appraisal including Strategic Environmental Assessment?
- P4. Did the Council comply with the regulations on the form and content of its plan and on the procedure for preparing the plan?

Consistency tests

- C1. Did the Council take account of the Regional Development Strategy?
- C2. Did the Council take account of its Community Plan?
- C3. Did the Council take account of policy and guidance issued by the Department

Coherence and effectiveness tests

- CE1. The plan sets out a coherent strategy from which its policies and allocations logically flow and where cross boundary issues are relevant, is it in conflict with the plans of neighbouring Councils.
- CE2. The strategy, policies and allocations are realistic and appropriate having considered the relevant alternatives and are founded on a robust evidence base.
- CE3. There are clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- CE4. The plan is reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

Section K: Which part(s) of the draft Plan Strategy are you commenting on?

This should relate to only one section, paragraph or policy of the LDP draft Plan Strategy. If you wish to inform us that you consider more than one part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound, you can submit further representations by completing and submitting additional copies of this section.

Relevant Chapter number(s)

Chapter 9

(and/ or) **Relevant Policy number(s)**

Policy ED3 Economic development in settlements (Page 120)

(and/or) **Relevant Paragraph number(s)**

(and/or) **District Proposals Map**

Please give full details of why you consider this part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy to be unsound, having regard to the tests(s) you have identified above. Please be as clear and concise as possible.

We do not believe that Policy ED3 is sound given that it does not provide enough flexibility in relation to Class B2 and B3 Uses and is overly restrictive in terms of locating such development in areas that are not zoned for such purposes in a development plan or within existing industrial areas. Current operational planning policy (Policy PED1 of PPS4) allows for Class B2 and B3 allows for flexibility in that it states "**Elsewhere in cities and towns such proposals will be determined on their individual merits**". The proposed Policy ED3 proposes under its part (iii) that "**in areas outside (i) or (ii), small scale development will be permitted provided the scale, nature and design of the proposal are in keeping with the character and setting of the settlement and the proposal is compatible with adjacent and nearby land uses, including residential**".

It is considered that this is not flexible enough to provide for changing circumstances during the plan period.

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible

If you consider the LDP draft Plan Strategy to be unsound, please provide details of what changes(s) you consider necessary to make the LDP draft Plan Strategy sound.

Policy ED3 should be amended to include allowance for Class B2 and B3 uses in other areas of cities and towns subject to being determined on their individual merits.

In making such an amendment it would provide greater consistency and coherence to the LDP and bring it in line with soundness tests C3 (Consistency) and CE4 (Coherence and Effectiveness).

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible

Section J: Tests of Soundness (Required)

State which Chapter / Policy / Paragraph / Map that this Section refers to:

Chapter 9
Policy ED4 Protection of Zoned and Established Economic Development Land and Uses (Page 122)

This should relate to only one section, paragraph or policy of the LDP draft Plan Strategy. If you wish to inform us that you consider more than one part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound, you can submit further representations by completing and submitting additional copies of this section.

Procedural tests

- P1. Has the plan been prepared in accordance with the Council's timetable and the Statement of Community Involvement?
- P2. Has the Council prepared its Preferred Options Paper and taken into account any representations made?
- P3. Has the plan been subject to Sustainability Appraisal including Strategic Environmental Assessment?
- P4. Did the Council comply with the regulations on the form and content of its plan and on the procedure for preparing the plan?

Consistency tests

- C1. Did the Council take account of the Regional Development Strategy?
- C2. Did the Council take account of its Community Plan?
- C3. Did the Council take account of policy and guidance issued by the Department

Coherence and effectiveness tests

- CE1. The plan sets out a coherent strategy from which its policies and allocations logically flow and where cross boundary issues are relevant, is it in conflict with the plans of neighbouring Councils.
- CE2. The strategy, policies and allocations are realistic and appropriate having considered the relevant alternatives and are founded on a robust evidence base.
- CE3. There are clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- CE4. The plan is reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

Section K Which part(s) of the draft Plan Strategy are you commenting on?

This should relate to only one section, paragraph or policy of the LDP draft Plan Strategy. If you wish to inform us that you consider more than one part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound, you can submit further representations by completing and submitting additional copies of this section.

Relevant Chapter number(s)

Chapter 9

(and/ or) Relevant Policy number(s)

Policy ED4 Protection of Zoned and Established Economic Development Land and Uses (Page 122)

(and/or) Relevant Paragraph number(s)

(and/or) District Proposals Map

Please give full details of why you consider this part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy to be unsound, having regard to the tests(s) you have identified above. Please be as clear and concise as possible.

We do not believe that proposed Policy ED4 as far as it relates to 'Zoned Land in All Locations' is sound given that it does not provide enough flexibility.

The exceptions of the proposed Policy ED4 exclude for the provision of development of a *Sui Generis* employment use within an existing or proposed industrial/employment area which is currently allowed under current operational planning policy (Policy PED7 of PPS4). The lack of allowance for appropriate *Sui Generis* employment uses makes this policy overly restrictive and does not provide suitable flexibility to address changing requirements during the plan period.

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

If you consider the LDP draft Plan Strategy to be unsound, please provide details of what changes(s) you consider necessary to make the LDP draft Plan Strategy sound.

The exceptions of Policy ED4 Policy ED4 as far as it relates to 'Zoned Land in All Locations' should be amended to reflect current operational planning policy and include allowance for development of a *Sui Generis* employment use.

In making such an amendment it would provide greater consistency and coherence to the LDP and bring it in line with soundness tests C3 (Consistency) and CE4 (Coherence and Effectiveness).

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

Section J: Tests of Soundness (Required)

State which Chapter / Policy / Paragraph / Map that this Section refers to:

Chapter 16, Policy HOU5 Affordable Housing in Settlements (Page 233)

This should relate to only one section, paragraph or policy of the LDP draft Plan Strategy. If you wish to inform us that you consider more than one part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound, you can submit further representations by completing and submitting additional copies of this section.

Procedural tests

- P1. Has the plan been prepared in accordance with the Council's timetable and the Statement of Community Involvement?
- P2. Has the Council prepared its Preferred Options Paper and taken into account any representations made?
- P3. Has the plan been subject to Sustainability Appraisal including Strategic Environmental Assessment?
- P4. Did the Council comply with the regulations on the form and content of its plan and on the procedure for preparing the plan?

Consistency tests

- C1. Did the Council take account of the Regional Development Strategy?
- C2. Did the Council take account of its Community Plan?
- C3. Did the Council take account of policy and guidance issued by the Department

Coherence and effectiveness tests

- CE1. The plan sets out a coherent strategy from which its policies and allocations logically flow and where cross boundary issues are relevant, is it in conflict with the plans of neighbouring Councils.
- CE2. The strategy, policies and allocations are realistic and appropriate having considered the relevant alternatives and are founded on a robust evidence base.
- CE3. There are clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- CE4. The plan is reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

Section K: Which part(s) of the draft Plan Strategy are you commenting on?

This should relate to only one section, paragraph or policy of the LDP draft Plan Strategy. If you wish to inform us that you consider more than one part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound, you can submit further representations by completing and submitting additional copies of this section.

Relevant Chapter number(s)

Chapter 16

(and/ or) Relevant Policy number(s)

Policy HOU5 Affordable Housing in Settlements (Page 233)

(and/or) Relevant Paragraph number(s)

(and/or) District Proposals Map

Please give full details of why you consider this part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy to be unsound, having regard to the tests(s) you have identified above. Please be as clear and concise as possible.

We believe that this proposed policy fails to satisfy the tests of C3 (Consistency) and CE4 (Coherence and Effectiveness) in that they are not consistent with, nor do they incorporate, an appropriate degree of flexibility in line with the SPPS and RDS.

The SPPS clearly indicates at its paragraph 6.143 that affordable housing is a matter to be addressed through: "...zoning land or by indicating, through key site requirements, where a proportion of a site may be required for social/affordable housing". The zoning of land and key site requirements are all matters for the Local Policies Plan and not the Plan Strategy Document.

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

If you consider the LDP draft Plan Strategy to be unsound, please provide details of what changes(s) you consider necessary to make the LDP draft Plan Strategy sound.

The proposed Policy HOU5 must be removed from the LDP and the matter of allocation of affordable housing dealt with at the Local Policies Plan stage which will deal with the zoning of land and key site requirements, methods through which the SPPS identifies for identifying affordable housing.

In making such an amendment it would provide greater consistency and coherence to the LDP and bring it in line with soundness tests C3 (Consistency) and CE4 (Coherence and Effectiveness).

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

Section J: Tests of Soundness (Required)

State which Chapter / Policy / Paragraph / Map that this Section refers to:

Chapter 21, NE 4 Development adjacent to Main Rivers and Open Water Bodies (Page 331)

This should relate to only one section, paragraph or policy of the LDP draft Plan Strategy. If you wish to inform us that you consider more than one part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound, you can submit further representations by completing and submitting additional copies of this section.

Procedural tests

- P1. Has the plan been prepared in accordance with the Council's timetable and the Statement of Community Involvement?
- P2. Has the Council prepared its Preferred Options Paper and taken into account any representations made?
- P3. Has the plan been subject to Sustainability Appraisal including Strategic Environmental Assessment?
- P4. Did the Council comply with the regulations on the form and content of its plan and on the procedure for preparing the plan?

Consistency tests

- C1. Did the Council take account of the Regional Development Strategy?
- C2. Did the Council take account of its Community Plan?
- C3. Did the Council take account of policy and guidance issued by the Department

Coherence and effectiveness tests

- CE1. The plan sets out a coherent strategy from which its policies and allocations logically flow and where cross boundary issues are relevant, is it in conflict with the plans of neighbouring Councils.
- CE2. The strategy, policies and allocations are realistic and appropriate having considered the relevant alternatives and are founded on a robust evidence base.
- CE3. There are clear mechanisms for implementation and monitoring.
- CE4. The plan is reasonably flexible to enable it to deal with changing circumstances.

Section K- Which part(s) of the draft Plan Strategy are you commenting on?

This should relate to only one section, paragraph or policy of the LDP draft Plan Strategy. If you wish to inform us that you consider more than one part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy is unsound, you can submit further representations by completing and submitting additional copies of this section.

Relevant Chapter number(s)

Chapter 21

(and/ or) Relevant Policy number(s)

NE 4 Development adjacent to Main Rivers and Open Water Bodies (Page 331)

(and/or) Relevant Paragraph number(s)

(and/or) District Proposals Map

Please give full details of why you consider this part of the LDP draft Plan Strategy to be unsound, having regard to the tests(s) you have identified above. Please be as clear and concise as possible.

It is believed that proposed Policy NE4 fails to satisfy the test of CE4 (Coherence and Effectiveness) in that the policy, in particular, the requirement for at least a 10metre biodiversity strip, does not incorporate an appropriate degree of flexibility.

It is noted that there is no justification or evidence for the proposed 10m distance and it would appear to be an arbitrary figure.

Attach additional sheets if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

If you consider the LDP draft Plan Strategy to be unsound, please provide details of what changes(s) you consider necessary to make the LDP draft Plan Strategy sound.

The proposed Policy NE4 should be reworded to remove the minimum distance of 10metres.

By removing the minimum distance, the policy will not be weakened, and a biodiversity strip will still be required. Site specific parameters will assist in dictating an appropriate biodiversity area, along with protection of nature conservation interests and public access to the river in question.

In amending the policy to address this issue it will provide a greater degree of flexibility in the application of the policy whilst also retaining the requirement for a biodiversity strip and bring the proposed draft policy in line with test CE4 (Coherence and Effectiveness).

Attach additional sheets if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

Section L: Sustainability Appraisal

If you wish to submit an 'expression of opinion' in relation to the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) of the LDP draft Plan Strategy (incorporating the Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)) please state them below or by email to LDP@DerryStrabane.com. If sending by email, please clearly state that your comments are in relation to the SA.

N/A

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

Section M: Draft Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA or AA)

If you have any comments or opinions in relation to the Draft Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) report of the LDP draft Plan Strategy, please submit them below or by email to LDP@DerryStrabane.com. If sending by email, please clearly state that your comments are in relation to the HRA.

N/A

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

Section N: Draft Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA)

If you have any comments or opinions in relation to the Draft Equality Impact Assessment (EQIA) report of the LDP draft Plan Strategy, please submit them below or by email to LDP@DerryStrabane.com. If sending by email, please clearly state that your comments are in relation to the EQIA.

N/A

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.

Section O: Draft Rural Needs Impact Assessment (RNIA)

If you have any comments or opinions in relation to the Draft Rural Needs Impact Assessment (RNIA) report of the LDP draft Plan Strategy, please submit them below or by email to LDP@DerryStrabane.com. If sending by email, please clearly state that your comments are in relation to the RNIA.

N/A

Attach additional sheet(s) if necessary, but please be as clear and concise as possible.